

United States District Court
Eastern District of California

Larry D. Alexander,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Stockton Police Dept., et al.,

Defendants.

No. Civ. S 04-0823 DFL PAN P

Findings and Recommendations

-oOo-

Plaintiff is a state prisoner without counsel prosecuting a civil rights action. He claims defendants violated his constitutional rights by wrongfully convicting him and seeks damages. He has not invalidated his conviction by habeas corpus or other means.

March 16, 2005, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause why this action is not barred by Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). Plaintiff did not respond.

Plaintiff cannot proceed under the civil rights statute for

1 reasons explained in the March 16, 2005, order.

2 Accordingly, the court hereby recommends this action be
3 dismissed.

4 Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these
5 findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States
6 District Judge assigned to this case. Written objections may be
7 filed within 20 days of service of these findings and
8 recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to
9 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district
10 judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings and
11 recommendations in whole or in part.

12 Dated: May 31, 2005.

13 /s/ Peter A. Nowinski
14 PETER A. NOWINSKI
15 Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26